arXiv:1006.2536v1 [math-ph] 13 Jun 2010

On the correlation function of the characteristic polynomials of the hermitian Wigner ensemble

T. Shcherbina
Institute for Low Temperature Physics, Kharkov, Ukraine.
E-mail: t_shcherbina@rambler.ru

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 15A52; Secondary 15A57

Abstract

We consider the asymptotics of the correlation functions of the characteristic polynomials of the hermitian Wigner matrices $H_n = n^{-1/2}W_n$. We show that for the correlation function of any even order the asymptotic coincides with this for the GUE up to a factor, depending only on the forth moment of the common probability law Q of entries $\Im W_{jk}$, $\Re W_{jk}$, i.e. that the higher moments of Q do not contribute to the above limit.

1 Introduction

Characteristic polynomials of random matrices have been actively studied in the last years. The interest was initially stimulated by the similarity between the asymptotic behavior of the moments of characteristic polynomials of a random matrix from the Circular Unitary Ensemble and the moments of the Riemann ζ-function along its critical line (see [8]). But with the emerging connections to the quantum chaos, integrable systems, combinatorics, representation theory and others, it has become apparent that the characteristic polynomials of random matrices are also of independent interest. This motivate the asymptotic study of the moments of characteristic polynomials for other random matrix ensembles (see e.g. [10], [3]).

In this paper we consider the hermitian Wigner Ensembles with symmetric entries distribution, i.e. hermitian $n \times n$ random matrices

$$H_n = n^{-1/2}W_n \tag{1.1}$$

with independent (modulo symmetry) and identically distributed entries $\Re W_{j,k}$ and $\Im W_{j,k}$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{E}\{W_{jk}\} = \mathbf{E}\{(W_{jk})^2\} = 0, & \mathbf{E}\{|W_{jk}|^2\} = 1, \\ \mathbf{E}\{\Re^{2l+1}W_{jk}\} = \mathbf{E}\{\Im^{2l+1}W_{jk}\} = 0, & j,k = 1,..,n, \quad l \in \mathbb{N}. \end{array} \tag{1.2}$$

Denote by $\lambda_1^{(n)}, \dots, \lambda_n^{(n)}$ the eigenvalues of random matrix and define their Normalized Counting Measure (NCM) as

$$N_n(\triangle) = \sharp\{\lambda_j^{(n)} \in \triangle, j = 1,..,n\}/n, \quad N_n(\mathbb{R}) = 1, \tag{1.3}$$

where $\triangle$ is an arbitrary interval of the real axis. The global regime of the random matrix theory, centered around the weak convergence of the Normalized Counting Measure of eigenvalues, is well-studied for many ensembles. It is shown that N_n converges weakly to a non-random limiting measure N known as the Integrated Density of States (IDS). The IDS is normalized to unity and is absolutely continuous in many cases

$$N(\mathbb{R}) = 1, \quad N(\triangle) = \int\limits_{\triangle} \rho(\lambda) d\,\lambda. \tag{1.4}$$

The non-negative function ρ in (1.4) is called the limiting density of states of the ensemble. In the case of Wigner hermitian ensemble it is well-known (see, e.g.,[11]) that

$$\rho(\lambda) = \rho_{sc}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\sqrt{4-\lambda^2}. \tag{1.5}$$

The mixed moments or the correlation functions of characteristic polynomials are

$$F_{2m}(\Lambda) = \int\limits_{\mathcal{H}_n} \prod_{j=1}^{2m} \det(\lambda_j - H) P_n(d\,H_n), \tag{1.6}$$

where $\mathcal{H}_n$ is the space of hermitian $n \times n$ matrices,

$$d\,H_n = \prod_{j=1}^{n} d\,H_{jj} \prod_{1\le j<k\le n} \Re H_{j,k} \Im H_{j,k} \tag{1.7}$$

is the standard Lebesgues measure on $\mathcal{H}_n$, $P_n(d\,H_n)$ is probability law of the $n\times n$ random matrix H_n, and $\Lambda = \{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{2m}$ are real or complex parameters that may depend on n.

We are interested in the asymptotic behavior of (1.6) for matrices (1.1) as $n \to \infty$ for

$$\lambda_j = \lambda_0 + \frac{\xi_j}{n\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0)}, \quad j = 1, .., 2m,$$

where $\lambda_0 \in (-2, 2)$, ρ_{sc} is defined in (1.5) and $\widehat{\xi} = \{\xi_j\}_{j=1}^{2m}$ are real number varying in a compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}$.

In the case of hermitian matrix model, i.e. the matrices with

$$P_n(d\,H_n) = Z_n^{-1} e^{-n \operatorname{tr} V(H_n)} d\,H_n,$$

where V is a potential function, the asymptotic behavior of (1.6) is known. Using the method of orthogonal polynomials, it was shown (see [13],[2]) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{(n\rho(\lambda_0))^{m^2}} F_{2m}\left(\Lambda_0 + \widehat{\xi}/(n\rho(\lambda_0))\right) \\
&\quad = C_n \frac{e^{mnV(\lambda_0)+\alpha_V(\lambda_0)\sum\limits_{j=1}^{2m}\xi_j}}{\triangle(\xi_1, .., \xi_m)\triangle(\xi_{m+1}, .., \xi_{2m})} \det\left\{\frac{\sin(\pi(\xi_i - \xi_{m+j}))}{\pi(\xi_i - \xi_{m+j})}\right\}_{i,j=1}^{m} (1 + o(1)),\ n \to \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Lambda_0 = (\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_0) \in \mathbb{R}^{2m}$,

$$\alpha_V(\lambda) = \frac{V'(\lambda)}{2\rho(\lambda)},$$

ρ is a density of (1.4), λ_0 is such that $\rho(\lambda_0) > 0$ and $\triangle(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ is the Vandermonde determinants of $x_1, \dots, x_m$.

Unfortunately, the method of orthogonal polynomials can not be applied to the general case of hermitian Wigner Ensembles. Thus, to find the asymptotic behavior of (1.6) other methods should be used. In [7] Gotze and Kosters use the exponential generating function to study this behavior for the second moment, i.e. for the case $m = 1$ in (1.6). In this case it was shown for matrices (1.1) that

$$\frac{1}{n\rho(\lambda_0)} F_2\left(\lambda_0 + \xi_1/(n\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0)), \lambda_0 + \xi_2/(n\rho(\lambda_0))\right)$$
$$= 2\pi \exp\{n(\lambda_0^2 - 2)/2 + \alpha(\lambda_0)(\xi_1 + \xi_2) + |\kappa_4|\} \frac{\sin(\pi(\xi_1 - \xi_2))}{\pi(\xi_1 - \xi_2)}(1 + o(1)),$$

where

$$\alpha(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda}{2\rho_{sc}(\lambda)}, \quad \kappa_4 = \mu_4 - 3/4, \tag{1.8}$$

and μ_4 is the forth moment of the common probability law Q of entries $\Im W_{jk}$, $\Re W_{jk}$.

In this paper we consider the general case $m \geq 1$ of (1.6) for the random matrices (1.1). Set

$$\begin{aligned} D^{(n)}(\xi) &= \frac{1}{n\rho(\lambda_0)} F_2\left(\lambda_0 + \frac{\xi}{n\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0)}, \lambda_0 + \frac{\xi}{n\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0)}\right) \\ &= 2\pi \exp\left\{\frac{n}{2}(\lambda_0^2 - 2) + 2\alpha(\lambda_0)\xi + \kappa_4\right\}(1 + o(1)). \end{aligned} \tag{1.9}$$

The main result of the paper is

Theorem 1. *Let the entries $\Im W_{jk}$, $\Re W_{jk}$ of matrices (1.1) has the symmetric probability distribution with $4m$ finite moments. Then we have for $m \geq 1$*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{(n\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0))^{m^2} \prod\limits_{l=1}^{2m} \sqrt{D^{(n)}(\xi_l)}} F_{2m}\left(\Lambda_0 + \widehat{\xi}/(n\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0))\right)$$
$$= \frac{\exp\{m(m-1)\kappa_4(\lambda_0^2 - 2)^2/2\}}{\pi^{2m(m-1)}\Delta(\xi_1, ..., \xi_m)\Delta(\xi_{m+1}, ..., \xi_{2m})} \det\left\{\frac{\sin(\pi(\xi_i - \xi_{m+j}))}{\pi(\xi_i - \xi_{m+j})}\right\}_{i,j=1}^{m},$$

where F_{2m} and $\rho_{sc}(\lambda)$ are defined in (1.6) and (1.5), $\Lambda_0 = (\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_0) \in \mathbb{R}^{2m}$, $\lambda_0 \in (-2, 2)$, $\widehat{\xi} = \{\xi_j\}_{j=1}^{2m}$, and $\alpha(\lambda)$ and κ_4 are defined in (1.8).

The theorem shows that the above limit for the mixed moments of characteristic polynomials for random matrices (1.1) coincide with those for the GUE up to a factor, depending only on the forth moment of the common probability law Q of entries $\Im W_{jk}$, $\Re W_{jk}$, i.e. that the higher moments of Q do not contribute to the above limit. This is a manifestation of universality of the limit, that can be composed with universality of the local bulk regime for Wigner matrices (see [5]).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we obtain a convenient integral representation for F_{2m} in the case of symmetric probability distribution of entries with $4m$ finite moments by using the integration over the Grassmann variables and Harish Chandra/Itzykson-Zuber formula for integrals over the unitary group. In Section 3 we prove Theorem 1 by applying the steepest descent method to the integral representation.

We denote by C, C_1, etc. and c, c_1, etc. various n-independent constants below, which can be different in different formulas. Integrals without limits denote the integrals over whole real axis.

2 The integral representation.

In this section we obtain the integral representation for the correlation functions F_{2m} of (1.6) of characteristic polynomials. To this end we use the integration over the Grassmann variables. The integration was introduced by Berezin and widely used in the physics literature (see [1] and [4]). For the reader convenience we give an outline of this technique here.

Let us consider the two sets of formal variables $\{\psi_j\}_{j=1}^n, \{\overline{\psi}_j\}_{j=1}^n$, which satisfy the following anticommutation conditions

$$\psi_j\psi_k+\psi_k\psi_j=\overline{\psi}_j\psi_k+\psi_k\overline{\psi}_j=\overline{\psi}_j\overline{\psi}_k+\overline{\psi}_k\overline{\psi}_j=0, \quad j,k=1,..,n.$$

In particular, for $k=j$ we obtain

$$\psi_j^2=\overline{\psi}_j^2=0.$$

These two sets of variables $\{\psi_j\}_{j=1}^n$ and $\{\overline{\psi}_j\}_{j=1}^n$ generate the Grassmann algebra Λ. Taking into account that $\psi_j^2=0$, we have that all elements of Λ are polynomials of $\{\psi_j\}_{j=1}^n$ and $\{\overline{\psi}_j\}_{j=1}^n$. We can also define functions of Grassmann variables. Let χ be an element of Λ. For any analytical function f by $f(\chi)$ we mean the element of Λ obtained by substituting χ in the Taylor series of f near zero. Since χ is a polynomial of $\{\psi_j\}_{j=1}^n$, $\{\overline{\psi}_j\}_{j=1}^n$, there exists such l that $\chi^l=0$, and hence the series terminates after a finite number of terms and so $f(\chi)\in\Lambda$.

Following Berezin [1], we define the operation of integration with respect to the anti-commuting variables in a formally way:

$$\int d\,\psi_j=\int d\,\overline{\psi}_j=0, \quad \int \psi_j d\,\psi_j=\int \overline{\psi}_j d\,\overline{\psi}_j=1.$$

This definition can be extend on the general element of Λ by the linearity. A multiple integral is defined to be repeated integral. The "differentials" $d\,\psi_j$ and $d\,\overline{\psi}_k$ anticommute with each other and with the variables ψ_j and $\overline{\psi}_k$.

Therefore, if

$$f(\chi_1,\dots,\chi_m)=a_0+\sum_{j_1=1}^m a_{j_1}\chi_{j_1}+\sum_{j_1<j_2}a_{j_1j_2}\chi_{j_1}\chi_{j_2}+\ldots+a_{1,2,\dots,m}\chi_1\cdots\chi_m,$$

then

$$\int f(\chi_1,\dots,\chi_m)d\,\chi_m\dots d\,\chi_1=a_{1,2,\dots,m}.$$

Let A be an ordinary hermitian matrix. The following Gaussian integral is well-known

$$\int \exp\left\{-\sum_{j,k=1}^n A_{j,k}z_j\overline{z}_k\right\}\prod_{j=1}^n\frac{d\,\Re z_j d\,\Im z_j}{\pi}=\frac{1}{\det A}. \tag{2.1}$$

One of the important formulas of the Grassmann variables theory is an analog of formula (2.1) for Grassmann algebra (see [1]):

$$\int \exp\left\{\sum_{j,k=1}^n A_{j,k}\overline{\psi}_j\psi_k\right\}\prod_{j=1}^n d\,\overline{\psi}_j d\,\psi_j=\det A, \tag{2.2}$$

where $\{\psi_j\}_{j=1}^n$ and $\{\overline{\psi}_j\}_{j=1}^n$ are the Grassmann variables. Besides, we have

$$\int \prod_{p=1}^{q} \overline{\psi}_{l_p}\psi_{s_p} \exp\left\{\sum_{j,k=1}^{n} A_{j,k}\overline{\psi}_j\psi_k\right\}\prod_{j=1}^{n} d\,\overline{\psi}_j d\,\psi_j = \det A_{l_1,..,l_q;s_1,..,s_q}, \qquad (2.3)$$

where $A_{l_1,..,l_q;s_1,..,s_q}$ is a $(n-q)\times(n-q)$ minor of the matrix A without rows $l_1,..,l_q$ and columns $s_1,..,s_q$.

2.1 Asymptotic integral representation for F_2.

In this subsection we obtain the asymptotic integral representation of (1.6) for $m=1$. The corresponding asymptotic formula was obtained in [7] by using the exponential generating function. We give here a detailed proof based on the Grassmann integration to show the basic ingredients of our technique. The technique will be elaborated in the next subsection to obtain the asymptotic integral representation of (1.6) for $m>1$.

Set

$$D_2 = \prod_{l=1}^{2}\sqrt{D^{(n)}(\xi_l)}, \qquad (2.4)$$

where $D^{(n)}(\xi)$ is defined in (1.9). Note also that

$$\sqrt{D^{(n)}(\xi)} = e^{\alpha(\lambda_0)\xi+\kappa_4/2}\sqrt{\frac{n(4-\lambda_0^2)}{2}}\int\left|(t-i\lambda_0/2)^n e^{-\frac{n}{2}(t+i\lambda_0/2)^2}\right| d\,t(1+o(1)) \qquad (2.5)$$

as $n\to\infty$.

Using (2.2), we obtain from (1.6)

$$\begin{aligned} D_2^{-1}F_2(\Lambda) &= D_2^{-1}\mathbf{E}\left\{\int e^{\sum\limits_{l=1}^{2}\sum\limits_{j,k=1}^{n}(\lambda_l-H)_{j,k}\overline{\psi}_{jl}\psi_{kl}}\prod_{r=1}^{2}\prod_{q=1}^{n} d\,\overline{\psi}_{qr}d\,\psi_{qr}\right\} \\ &= D_2^{-1}\mathbf{E}\left\{\int e^{\sum\limits_{s=1}^{2}\lambda_s\sum\limits_{p=1}^{n}\overline{\psi}_{ps}\psi_{ps}}\exp\left\{-\sum_{j<k}\frac{\Re w_{j,k}}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{l=1}^{2}(\overline{\psi}_{jl}\psi_{kl}+\overline{\psi}_{kl}\psi_{jl})\right.\right. \\ &\left.\left.-\sum_{j<k}\frac{i\Im w_{j,k}}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{l=1}^{2}(\overline{\psi}_{jl}\psi_{kl}-\overline{\psi}_{kl}\psi_{jl})-\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{w_{jj}}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{l=1}^{2}\overline{\psi}_{jl}\psi_{jl}\right\}\prod_{r=1}^{2}\prod_{q=1}^{n}d\,\overline{\psi}_{qr}d\,\psi_{qr}\right\}, \end{aligned} \qquad (2.6)$$

where $\{\psi_{jl}\}_{j,l=1}^{n\,2}$ are the Grassmann variables (n variables for each determinant in (1.6)). Denote

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{j,k}^{+} &= \sum_{l=1}^{2}(\overline{\psi}_{jl}\psi_{kl}+\overline{\psi}_{kl}\psi_{jl}), \quad \chi_{j,k}^{-} = \sum_{l=1}^{2}(\overline{\psi}_{jl}\psi_{kl}-\overline{\psi}_{kl}\psi_{jl}),\ j\neq k, \\ \chi_{j,j}^{+} &= \sum_{l=1}^{2}\overline{\psi}_{jl}\psi_{jl}, \quad j,k=1,..,n. \end{aligned} \qquad (2.7)$$

Using that $(\chi_{j,k}^{\pm})^s = 0$ for $s>4$, $j,k=1,..,n$ (since $\overline{\psi}_{js}^2=\psi_{js}^2=0$ for any $j=1,..,n$, $s=1,2$), we expand the second exponent under the integral in (2.6) into the series and integrate with respect to the measure (1.2). We get then

$$\begin{aligned} D_2^{-1}F_2(\Lambda) = D_2^{-1}\int e^{\sum\limits_{s=1}^{2}\lambda_s\sum\limits_{p=1}^{n}\overline{\psi}_{ps}\psi_{ps}} \prod_{j<k}\left(1+\frac{(\chi^+_{j,k})^2}{4n}+\frac{\mu_4}{4!n^2}(\chi^+_{j,k})^4\right) \\ \prod_{j<k}\left(1-\frac{(\chi^-_{j,k})^2}{4n}+\frac{\mu_4}{4!n^2}(\chi^-_{j,k})^4\right)\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(1+\frac{1}{2n}(\chi^+_{j,j})^2\right)\prod_{r=1}^{2}\prod_{q=1}^{n}d\,\overline{\psi}_{qr}d\,\psi_{qr}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

where μ_4 is 4-th moment of the common probability law Q of the entries $\Im W_{jk}$, $\Re W_{jk}$ of (1.2). Note that

$$\begin{aligned} 1\pm\frac{1}{4n}(\chi^\pm_{j,k})^2+\frac{\mu_4}{4!n^2}(\chi^\pm_{j,k})^4 &= \exp\left\{\pm\frac{1}{4n}(\chi^\pm_{j,k})^2+\frac{\kappa_4}{4!n^2}(\chi^\pm_{j,k})^4\right\}, \quad j\neq k, \\ 1+\frac{1}{2n}(\chi^+_{j,j})^2 &= \exp\{\frac{1}{2n}(\chi^+_{j,j})^2\}, \quad j,k=1,..,n, \end{aligned}$$

where κ_4 is defined in (1.8). Thus, (2.8) yields

$$D_2^{-1}F_2(\Lambda) = D_2^{-1}\int e^{\sum\limits_{s=1}^{2}\lambda_s\sum\limits_{p=1}^{n}\overline{\psi}_{ps}\psi_{ps}-\frac{1}{2n}\sigma_1+\frac{\kappa_4}{n^2}\sigma_2}\prod_{r=1}^{2}\prod_{q=1}^{n}d\,\overline{\psi}_{qr}d\,\psi_{qr}, \tag{2.9}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1 &= -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j<k}\left((\chi^+_{j,k})^2-(\chi^-_{j,k})^2\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{n}(\chi^+_{j,j})^2 \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{2}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\overline{\psi}_{jl}\psi_{jl}\right)^2+2\sum_{1\le l<s\le 2}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\overline{\psi}_{jl}\psi_{js}\cdot\sum_{k=1}^{n}\overline{\psi}_{ks}\psi_{kl}\right), \\ \sigma_2 &= \frac{1}{4!}\sum_{j<k}\left((\chi^+_{j,k})^4+(\chi^-_{j,k})^4\right)=\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\overline{\psi}_{j1}\overline{\psi}_{j2}\psi_{j1}\psi_{j2}\right)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Now we use the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a}}\exp\{ab^2\} &= \int\exp\{-ax^2-2abx\}d\,x, \\ \frac{\pi}{a}\exp\{abc\} &= \int\exp\{-a\overline{u}u-ab\overline{u}-acu\}d\,\Re u d\,\Im u, \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

where b,c are complex numbers or even Grassmann variables (i.e. sums of the products of even number of Grassmann variables), and a is a positive number. For the case of even Grassmann variables this formulas can be obtained by expanding the exponent into the series and integrating of each term. Therefore, (2.10) – (2.11) imply

$$\begin{aligned} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2n}\sigma_1\right\} = \frac{n^2}{2\pi^2}\int\limits_{\mathcal{H}_2}\exp\left\{-\frac{n}{2}\left(\sum_{q=1}^{2}\tau_q^2+2\sum_{1\le a<b\le 2}\overline{u}_{ab}u_{ab}\right)\right\} \\ \prod_{j=1}^{n}\exp\left\{\sum_{p=1}^{2}i\tau_p\overline{\psi}_{jp}\psi_{jp}+\sum_{1\le c<d\le 2}\left(iu_{cd}\overline{\psi}_{jc}\psi_{jd}+i\overline{u}_{cd}\overline{\psi}_{jd}\psi_{jc}\right)\right\}d\,Q, \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

where

$$Q = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \tau_1 & u_{12} \\ \overline{u}_{12} & \tau_2 \end{array} \right), \tag{2.13}$$

$\mathcal{H}_2$ is the space of 2×2 hermitian matrices and $d\,Q$ is given in (1.7) for $n = 2$. Write the formula

$$\exp\Big\{\frac{\kappa_4}{n^2}\sigma_2\Big\} = \sqrt{\frac{|\kappa_4|}{\pi}} \int \exp\{-|\kappa_4|p^2\} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \exp\Big\{\frac{2p\,\varepsilon(\kappa_4)}{n}\overline{\psi}_{j1}\overline{\psi}_{j2}\psi_{j1}\psi_{j2}\Big\}\,d\,p \tag{2.14}$$

with

$$\varepsilon(x) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} x, & x > 0, \\ -ix, & x < 0. \end{array} \right. \tag{2.15}$$

Substituting (2.12) – (2.14) in (2.9) and using (2.2) – (2.3) we can integrate in (2.9) over the Grassmann variables. We obtain

$$D_2^{-1}F_2(\Lambda) = Z_2 \int d\,p \int\limits_{\mathcal{H}_2} d\,Q e^{-\frac{n}{2}\mathrm{tr}\,Q^2 - |\kappa_4|p^2} \Big(\det(Q - i\Lambda) + \frac{2p\,\varepsilon(\kappa_4)}{n}\Big)^n, \tag{2.16}$$

where Q is defined in (2.13) and

$$\Lambda = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{array} \right), \quad Z_2 = \frac{(-1)^n n^2 D_2^{-1}}{2\pi^2\sqrt{\pi|\kappa_4|^{-1}}}. \tag{2.17}$$

Recall that we are interested in $\Lambda = \Lambda_0 + \widehat{\xi}/n\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0)$, where $\Lambda_0 = \mathrm{diag}\{\lambda_0, \lambda_0\}$ and $\widehat{\xi} = \mathrm{diag}\{\xi_1, \xi_2\}$. Change variables to $\tau_j - i\lambda_0/2 - i\xi_j/n\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0) \to \tau_j$, $j = 1, 2$ and note that we can move the integration with respect to τ_j from line $\Im z = \lambda_0/2 + \xi_j/n\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0)$ back to the real axis. Indeed, consider the contour C_{jR}, which is the rectangle with vertices at $(-R, 0)$, $(-R, \lambda_0/2 + \xi_j/n)$, $(R, \lambda_0/2 + \xi_j/n)$ and $(R, 0)$. Since the integrand in (2.16) is analytic in $\{\tau_j\}_{j=1}^2$, the integral with respect to τ_j of this function over C_{jR} is equal to 0. Besides, the integral over the segments of lines $\Im z = \pm R$ tends to 0 as $R \to \infty$, since the integrand in (2.16) is a polynomial of τ_j multiplied by $\exp\{-n\tau_j^2/2\}$. Thus, setting $R \to \infty$, we obtain that the integral with respect to τ_j over the line $\Im z = \lambda_0/2 + \xi_j/n$ is equal to the integral over the real axis. Hence, we obtain in new variables

$$\begin{aligned} D_2^{-1}F_2(\Lambda) = Z_2 \int d\,p \int\limits_{\mathcal{H}_2} d\,Q e^{-\frac{n}{2}\mathrm{tr}\,(Q + \frac{i\Lambda_0}{2})^2 - \frac{i}{\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0)}\mathrm{tr}(Q + \frac{i\Lambda_0}{2})\widehat{\xi} - |\kappa_4|p^2 - \frac{1}{2n}\mathrm{tr}\,\frac{\widehat{\xi}^2}{\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0)^2}} \\ \times \Big(\det(Q - \tfrac{i\Lambda_0}{2}) + \frac{2p\,\varepsilon(\kappa_4)}{n}\Big)^n = Z_2 \int d\,p \int\limits_{\mathcal{H}_2} d\,Q \exp\Big\{-\frac{i}{\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0)}\mathrm{tr}(Q + \frac{i\Lambda_0}{2})\widehat{\xi} \\ -|\kappa_4|p^2 - \tfrac{1}{2n}\mathrm{tr}\,\tfrac{\widehat{\xi}^2}{\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0)^2}\Big\}\,\mu_n(Q)\,\Big(1 + \frac{2p\,\varepsilon(\kappa_4)}{n\det(Q - i\Lambda_0/2)}\Big)^n, \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

where Q is again the hermitian (see (2.13)) and

$$\mu_n(Q) = \det{}^n(Q - i\Lambda_0/2)e^{-\frac{n}{2}\mathrm{tr}\,(Q + i\Lambda_0/2)^2}. \tag{2.19}$$

Let q_1, q_2 be the eigenvalues of Q. Set

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\Omega}_n &= \{(Q, p) : a \le |q_l - i\lambda_0/2| \le A, l = 1, 2, \, |p| \le \log n\}, \\ \widetilde{\Omega}_n^Q &= \{Q \in \mathcal{H}_2 : a \le |q_l - i\lambda_0/2| \le A\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

for sufficiently small a and sufficiently big A (note that if $|\lambda_0| \ge \delta$, then $|q_l - i\lambda_0/2| \ge \delta^2/4$ and we can omit the first inequality in (2.20)). Note that the integral in (2.18) over the domain $\max\limits_{l=1,2} |q_l| \ge A$ is $O(e^{-nA^2/4})$, $A \to \infty$ and the integral over the domain $\min\limits_{l=1,2} |q_l| \le a$ is $O(e^{-n \log a^{-1}})$, $a \to 0$. If $a \le |q_l - i\lambda_0/2| \le A$ and $|p| \ge \log n$, then according to (2.4), (2.5) and (2.13), the corresponding integral is bounded by

$$Z_2 \int\limits_{\widetilde{\Omega}_n^Q} |\mu_n(Q)| d\,Q \int\limits_{|p| \ge \log n} (1 + Cp/n)^n e^{-|\kappa_4| p^2} d\,p = O(e^{-C \log^2 n}), \tag{2.21}$$

and we can write

$$\begin{aligned} D_2^{-1} F_2(\Lambda) = Z_2 \int\limits_{\widetilde{\Omega}_n} & e^{-i \mathrm{tr} (Q + \frac{i\Lambda_0}{2}) \frac{\widehat{\xi}}{\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0)} - |\kappa_4| p^2 - \frac{1}{2n} \mathrm{tr}\, \frac{\widehat{\xi}^2}{\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0)^2} + 2p\,\varepsilon(\kappa_4) \det^{-1}(Q - \frac{i\Lambda_0}{2})} \\ & \times \mu_n(Q)\, (1 + f_n(\det(Q - i\Lambda_0/2), p))\, d\,p\, d\,Q + O(e^{-c \log^2 n}), \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

where

$$f_n(\det(Q - i\Lambda_0/2), p) = e^{-2p\,\varepsilon(\kappa_4) \det^{-1}(Q - \frac{i\Lambda_0}{2})} \left(1 + \frac{2p\,\varepsilon(\kappa_4)}{n \det(Q - \frac{i\Lambda_0}{2})} \right)^n - 1. \tag{2.23}$$

Note that f_n is an analytic function of p and entries of Q, and we have on $\widetilde{\Omega}_n$

$$|f_n(\det(Q - i\Lambda_0/2), p)| \le \frac{\log^k n}{n}, \tag{2.24}$$

where k is independent of n. It is easy to check that

$$I := \int\limits_{|p| \le \log n} e^{-|\kappa_4| p^2 + 2p\,\varepsilon(\kappa_4) \det^{-1}(Q - \frac{i\Lambda_0}{2})} d\,p = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{|\kappa_4|}} e^{\kappa_4 \det^{-2}(Q - i\Lambda_0/2)} + O(e^{-c \log^2 n}),$$

and we obtain that $|I| > C_3 > 0$ on $\widetilde{\Omega}_n$ (see (2.20)). Thus, (2.22) yields

$$\begin{aligned} D_2^{-1} F_2(\Lambda) = \frac{n^2 D_2^{-1}}{(-1)^n 2\pi^2} \int\limits_{\widetilde{\Omega}_n^Q} & \mu_n(Q) \exp \Big\{ -i \mathrm{tr} (Q + i\Lambda_0/2) \widehat{\xi} / \rho_{sc}(\lambda_0) \\ & + \kappa_4 \det^{-2}(Q - i\Lambda_0/2) \Big\} \Big(1 + f_n^{(1)}(\det(Q - i\Lambda_0/2)) \Big)\, d\,Q + O(e^{-c \log^2 n}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f_n^{(1)}\left(\det\left(Q - i\Lambda_0/2\right)\right) &= e^{-\frac{1}{2n} \mathrm{tr}\, \frac{\widehat{\xi}^2}{\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0)^2}} - 1 \\ &+ I^{-1} e^{-\frac{1}{2n} \mathrm{tr}\, \frac{\widehat{\xi}^2}{\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0)^2}} \int\limits_{|p| \le \log n} e^{-|\kappa_4| p^2 + 2p\,\varepsilon(\kappa_4) \det^{-1}(Q - i\Lambda_0/2)} f_n(\det(Q - i\Lambda_0/2), p) d\,p. \end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

According to (2.24), we get that $f_n^{(1)}(\det(Q - i\Lambda_0/2))$ is analytic in elements of Q on $\widetilde{\Omega}_n^Q$ and

$$|f_n^{(1)}(\det(Q - i\Lambda_0/2))| \le \log^k n/n, \tag{2.26}$$

where k is independent of n.

Let us change variables to $Q = U^*TU$, where U is a unitary matrix and $T = \mathrm{diag}\{t_1, t_2\}$. Then $d\,Q$ of (1.7) for $n = 2$ transforms to $(t_1 - t_2)^2 d\,t_1\,d\,t_2 d\,\mu(U)$, where $\mu(U)$ is the normalized to unity Haar measure on the unitary group $U(2)$ (see e.g. [9], Section 3.3). Hence, since functions $\det(Q - i\Lambda_0/2)$ and $\mathrm{tr}\,(Q + i\Lambda_0/2)^2$ are unitary invariant, (2.25) implies

$$\begin{aligned} D_2^{-1}F_2(\Lambda) &= \frac{n^2(-1)^n}{2\pi^2 D_2}\int\limits_{U(2)} d\mu(U)\int\limits_{L_a^A\times L_a^A} d\,t_1 d\,t_2\prod_{l=1}^{2}(t_l - i\lambda_0/2)^n \\ &\times\; e^{-\frac{n}{2}\sum\limits_{s=1}^{2}(t_s+\frac{i\lambda_0}{2})^2-\mathrm{tr}\,U^*(T+\frac{i\Lambda_0}{2})U\frac{i\widehat{\xi}}{\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0)}+\kappa_4\prod\limits_{r=1}^{2}(t_r-\frac{i\lambda_0}{2})^{-2}} \\ &\times\; \left(1 + f_n^{(1)}(\det(T - \frac{i\Lambda_0}{2}))\right) + O(e^{-c\log^2 n}), \end{aligned} \tag{2.27}$$

where

$$L_a^A = \{t \in \mathbb{R} : a \le |t - i\lambda_0/2| \le A\}. \tag{2.28}$$

The integral over the unitary group $U(2)$ can be computed using the well-known Harish Chandra/Itsykson-Zuber formula (see e.g. [9], Appendix 5)

Proposition 1. *Let A be the normal $n \times n$ matrix with distinct eigenvalues $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and $B = diag\{b_1, \ldots, b_n\}$. Then we have*

$$\int\limits_{U(n)}\int \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}tr(A - U^*BU)^2\}\triangle^2(B)f(B)d\,U d\,B$$

$$= \pi^{n/2}\int \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}tr(a_j - b_j)^2\}\frac{\triangle(B)}{\triangle(A)}f(b_1, \ldots, b_n)d\,B, \tag{2.29}$$

where $f(B)$ is any symmetric function of $\{b_j\}_{j=1}^n$, $d\,B = \prod\limits_{j=1}^{n} d\,b_j$ and $\triangle(A)$, $\triangle(B)$ are Vandermonde determinants for the eigenvalues $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^n$, $\{b_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of A and B.

Hence, we obtain finally from (2.27)

$$\begin{aligned} D_2^{-1}F_2(\Lambda) = \frac{i\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0)n^2}{2\pi(-1)^n D_2}\int\limits_{L_a^A\times L_a^A}\prod_{l=1}^{2}(t_l - i\lambda_0/2)^n e^{-\frac{n}{2}\sum\limits_{l=1}^{2}(t_l+\frac{i\lambda_0}{2})^2-\sum\limits_{l=1}^{2}\frac{i\xi_l}{\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0)}(t_l+\frac{i\lambda_0}{2})} \\ \frac{t_1 - t_2}{\xi_1 - \xi_2}e^{\kappa_4(t_1-i\lambda_0/2)^{-2}(t_2-i\lambda_0/2)^{-2}}\left(1 + f_n^{(2)}(T)\right)d\,t_1\,d\,t_2 + O(e^{-c\log^2 n}), \end{aligned} \tag{2.30}$$

where L_a^A is defined in (2.28) and $f_n^{(2)}(T) = f_n^{(1)}(\det(T - i\Lambda_0/2))$ is an analytic function bounded by $\log^k n/n$ if $t_l \in L_a^A$, $l = 1, 2$.

This asymptotic integral representation is used in the section 3 to prove the theorem for $m = 1$.

2.2 Asymptotic integral representation for F_{2m}.

Set

$$D_{2m} = \prod_{l=1}^{2m}\sqrt{D^{(n)}(\xi_l)}, \tag{2.31}$$

where $D^{(n)}(\xi)$ is defined in (1.9). Using (2.2), we obtain from (1.6) (cf. (2.6))

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{2m}^{-1}F_{2m}(\Lambda) &= D_{2m}^{-1}\mathbf{E}\left\{\int e^{\sum\limits_{l=1}^{2m}\sum\limits_{j,k=1}^{n}(\lambda_l-H)_{j,k}\overline{\psi}_{jl}\psi_{kl}}\prod_{r=1}^{2m}\prod_{q=1}^{n}d\,\overline{\psi}_{qr}d\,\psi_{qr}\right\} \\
&= D_{2m}^{-1}\mathbf{E}\left\{\int e^{\sum\limits_{s=1}^{2m}\lambda_s\sum\limits_{p=1}^{n}\overline{\psi}_{ps}\psi_{ps}-\sum\limits_{j<k}\frac{\Re w_{j,k}}{\sqrt{n}}\sum\limits_{l=1}^{2m}(\overline{\psi}_{jl}\psi_{kl}+\overline{\psi}_{kl}\psi_{jl})}\right. \qquad (2.32) \\
&\quad \left. e^{-\sum\limits_{j<k}\frac{i\Im w_{j,k}}{\sqrt{n}}\sum\limits_{l=1}^{2m}(\overline{\psi}_{jl}\psi_{kl}-\overline{\psi}_{kl}\psi_{jl})-\sum\limits_{j=1}^{n}\frac{w_{jj}}{\sqrt{n}}\sum\limits_{l=1}^{2m}\overline{\psi}_{jl}\psi_{jl}}\prod_{r=1}^{2m}\prod_{q=1}^{n}d\,\overline{\psi}_{qr}d\,\psi_{qr}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\{\psi_{jl}\}_{j,l=1}^{n,\,2m}$ are the Grassmann variables (n variables for each determinant). As in (2.7) we denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi_{j,k}^{+} &= \sum_{l=1}^{2m}(\overline{\psi}_{jl}\psi_{kl}+\overline{\psi}_{kl}\psi_{jl}), \quad \chi_{j,k}^{-}=\sum_{l=1}^{2m}(\overline{\psi}_{jl}\psi_{kl}-\overline{\psi}_{kl}\psi_{jl}), \quad j\neq k, \qquad (2.33)\\
\chi_{j,j}^{+} &= \sum_{l=1}^{2m}\overline{\psi}_{jl}\psi_{jl}, \quad j,k=1,\ldots,n.
\end{aligned}
$$

Using that $(\chi_{j,k}^{\pm})^s=0$ for $s>4m$, $j,k=1,..,2m$ (since $\overline{\psi}_{jl}^2=\psi_{jl}^2=0$ for any $j=1,..,n$, $l=1,..,2m$), we expand the exponent under the integral in (2.32) into the series and integrate with respect to the measure (1.2). We get then similarly to (2.9)

$$
D_{2m}^{-1}F_{2m}(\Lambda)=D_{2m}^{-1}\int e^{\sum\limits_{s=1}^{2m}\lambda_s\sum\limits_{k=1}^{n}\overline{\psi}_{ks}\psi_{ks}-\frac{1}{2n}\sigma_1+\sum\limits_{p=2}^{2m}\frac{\kappa_{2p}}{n^p}\sigma_p}\prod_{r=1}^{2m}\prod_{q=1}^{n}d\,\overline{\psi}_{qr}d\,\psi_{qr}, \qquad (2.34)
$$

where κ_{2p} is cumulants of the probability distribution of entries $\Re w_{jk}$, $\Im w_{jk}$ of (1.2), i.e. the coefficients in the expansion

$$
l(t):=\log\mathbf{E}\{e^{it\Re w_{jk}}\}=\sum_{q=0}^{s}\frac{\kappa_q}{q!}(it)^q+o(t^s), \quad t\to 0.
$$

The function σ_1 in (2.34) is the same as in (2.10) (but with $\chi_{j,k}^{\pm}$ of (2.33) and the sums from 1 to $2m$ instead of from 1 to 2),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_2 &= \frac{1}{4!}\sum_{j<k}((\chi_{j,k}^{+})^4+(\chi_{j,k}^{-})^4)+\frac{2}{4!}\sum_{j=1}^{n}(\chi_{j,j}^{+})^4 \qquad (2.35)\\
&= 2\sum_{l_1<l_2<s_1<s_2}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\overline{\psi}_{jl_1}\overline{\psi}_{jl_2}\overline{\psi}_{js_1}\overline{\psi}_{js_2}\cdot\sum_{k=1}^{n}\psi_{kl_1}\psi_{kl_2}\psi_{ks_1}\psi_{ks_2}\\
&+ \frac{1}{4}\sum_{l_1\neq s_1,l_2\neq s_2}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\overline{\psi}_{jl_1}\overline{\psi}_{js_1}\psi_{jl_2}\psi_{js_2}\cdot\sum_{k=1}^{n}\psi_{kl_1}\psi_{ks_1}\overline{\psi}_{kl_2}\overline{\psi}_{ks_2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and for $p\geq 3$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_p &= \frac{1}{(2p)!}\sum_{j<k}((\chi_{j,k}^{+})^{2p}+(-1)^p(\chi_{j,k}^{-})^{2p})+\frac{2}{(2p)!}\sum_{j<k}(\chi_{j,j}^{+})^{2p}\\
&= \sum_{l_1,..,l_{2p}=1}^{2m}\sum_{s=0}^{[\frac{p}{2}]}c_{s,l}^{(p)}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\overline{\psi}_{jl_1}..\overline{\psi}_{jl_{p+2s}}\psi_{jl_{p+2s+1}}..\psi_{jl_{2p}}\cdot\sum_{k=1}^{n}\psi_{kl_1}..\psi_{kl_{p+2s}}\overline{\psi}_{kl_{p+2s+1}}..\overline{\psi}_{kl_{2p}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c_{s,l}^{(p)}$ are n-independent positive coefficients and $l=(l_1,...,l_{2p})$. Using (2.11) we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{n^{-2}\kappa_4\sigma_2} = C_2'\int e^{-|\kappa_4|\left(2\sum\limits_{l_1<l_2<s_1<s_2}\overline{w}_{l_1l_2s_1s_2}w_{l_1l_2s_1s_2}+\sum\limits_{l_1\neq s_1,l_2\neq s_2}\overline{v}_{l_1l_2s_1s_2}v_{l_1l_2s_1s_2}\right)} \\ \prod_{j=1}^{n} e^{\frac{\varepsilon(\kappa_4)}{2n}\sum\limits_{a_1\neq b_1,a_2\neq b_2}\left(v_{a_1a_2b_1b_2}\overline{\psi}_{ja_1}\overline{\psi}_{jb_1}\psi_{ja_2}\psi_{jb_2}+\overline{v}_{a_1a_2b_1b_2}\psi_{ja_1}\psi_{jb_1}\overline{\psi}_{ja_2}\overline{\psi}_{jb_2}\right)} \\ \prod_{j=1}^{n} e^{\frac{2\varepsilon(\kappa_4)}{n}\sum\limits_{c_1<c_2<d_1<d_2}\left(w_{c_1c_2d_1d_2}\overline{\psi}_{jc_1}\overline{\psi}_{jc_2}\overline{\psi}_{jd_1}\overline{\psi}_{jd_2}+\overline{w}_{c_1c_2d_1d_2}\psi_{jc_1}\psi_{jc_2}\psi_{jd_1}\psi_{jd_2}\right)} dW\,dV, \end{aligned} \tag{2.36}$$

where

$$dW=\prod_{l_1<l_2<s_1<s_2} d\,\Re w_{l_1l_2s_1s_2}\,d\,\Im w_{l_1l_2s_1s_2},$$

$$dV=\prod_{l_1\neq s_1,l_2\neq s_2} d\,\Re v_{l_1l_2s_1s_2}\,d\,\Im v_{l_1l_2s_1s_2},\quad C_2'=\left(\frac{\pi}{2|\kappa_4|}\right)^{-\binom{2m}{4}}\left(\frac{\pi}{|\kappa_4|}\right)^{-(2m)^2(2m-1)^2}. \tag{2.37}$$

As well, (2.11) yields for $p\geq 3$

$$\begin{aligned} \exp\left\{\frac{\kappa_{2p}}{n^p}\sigma_p\right\} = C_p'\int \exp\left\{-|\kappa_{2p}|\left(\sum_{l_1,..,l_{2p}=1}^{2m}\sum_{s=0}^{[\frac{p}{2}]}\overline{r}_{l,s}r_{l,s}\right)\right\} \\ \prod_{j=1}^{n}\exp\left\{\frac{\varepsilon(\kappa_{2p})}{n^{p/2}}\sum_{l_1,..,l_{2p}=1}^{2m}\sum_{q=0}^{[\frac{p}{2}]}(c_{l,q}^{(p)})^{1/2}(r_{l,q}\overline{\psi}_{jl_1}..\overline{\psi}_{jl_{p+2q}}\psi_{jl_{p+2q+1}}..\psi_{jl_{2p}}\right. \\ \left.+\overline{r}_{l,q}\psi_{jl_1}..\psi_{jl_{p+2q}}\overline{\psi}_{jl_{p+2q+1}}..\overline{\psi}_{jl_{2p}})\right\} dR \end{aligned} \tag{2.38}$$

with $l=(l_1,\dots,l_{2p})$ and

$$dR=\prod_{l_1,..,l_{2p}=1}^{2m}\prod_{s=0}^{[\frac{p}{2}]} d\,\Re r_{l,s}d\,\Im r_{l,s},\quad C_p'=\left(\frac{\pi}{|\kappa_{2p}|}\right)^{-[\frac{p}{2}](2m)^{2p}},\ p\geq 3. \tag{2.39}$$

Substituting (2.36) – (2.38) and (2.12) with sums from 1 to $2m$ instead of from 1 to 2 in (2.34) and using (2.2) – (2.3) we can integrate over Grassmann variables in (2.34). We get

$$D_{2m}^{-1}F_{2m}(\Lambda)=Z_m\int\limits_{\mathcal{H}_{2m}} dQ\int dV\,dR\,dW\,e^{-\frac{n}{2}\mathrm{tr}\,Q^2}\widetilde{\nu}_n(v,w,r)\Phi^n(iQ+\Lambda,v,w,r), \tag{2.40}$$

where $\mathcal{H}_{2m}$ is the space of hermitian $2m\times 2m$ matrices,

$$\begin{aligned} v &= \{v_{a_1a_2b_1b_2}|a_1\neq b_1,\ a_2\neq b_2,\ a_1,a_2,b_1,b_2=1,..,2m\}, \\ w &= \{w_{a_1a_2b_1b_2}|a_1<a_2<b_1<b_2,\ a_1,a_2,b_1,b_2=1,..,2m\}, \\ r_p &= \{r_{l,s}|l_1,..,l_{2p}=1,..,2m,\ s=0,..,[p/2]\}, \\ r &= (r_3,\dots,r_{2m}), \end{aligned} \tag{2.41}$$

and

$$\widetilde{\nu}_n(v,w,r)=\exp\left\{-|\kappa_4|\overline{v}v-2|\kappa_4|\overline{w}w-\sum_{p=3}^{2m}|\kappa_{2p}|\overline{r}_pr_p\right\}. \tag{2.42}$$

$d\,Q$, $d\,V$, $d\,R$ and $d\,W$ are defined in (1.7) for $n=2m$, (2.37) and (2.39), and

$$Q=\begin{pmatrix}\tau_1 & u_{12} & u_{13} & .. & u_{1,2m-1} & u_{1,2m}\\ \overline{u}_{12} & \tau_2 & u_{23} & .. & u_{2,2m-1} & u_{2,2m}\\ \overline{u}_{13} & \overline{u}_{23} & \tau_3 & .. & u_{3,2m-1} & u_{3,2m}\\ .. & .. & .. & .. & .. & ..\\ \overline{u}_{1,2m-1} & \overline{u}_{2,2m-1} & \overline{u}_{3,2m-1} & .. & \tau_{2m-1} & u_{2m,2m-1}\\ \overline{u}_{2m,1} & \overline{u}_{2m,2} & \overline{u}_{2m,3} & .. & \overline{u}_{2m-1,2m} & \tau_{2m}\end{pmatrix} \tag{2.43}$$

is obviously hermitian. We denote also

$$\Lambda=\mathrm{diag}\{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\dots,\lambda_{2m}\},\quad Z_m=D_{2m}^{-1}\frac{n^{2m^2}}{2^m\pi^{2m^2}}\prod_{p=2}^{2m}C_p'. \tag{2.44}$$

According to (2.2) – (2.3) $\Phi(iQ+\Lambda,v,w,r)$ in (2.40) is a polynomial of the entries of $iQ+\Lambda$ and of $\{v_{l_1l_2s_1s_2}/n\}$, $\{w_{l_1l_2s_1s_2}/n\}$, $\{r_{l_1,..,l_{2p},s}/n^{p/2}\}$ with n-independent coefficients and degree at most $2m$ and

1. the degree of each variable in $\Phi(iQ+\Lambda,v,w,r)$ is at most one;
2. $\Phi(iQ+\Lambda,v,w,r)$ does not contain terms $C(iQ+\Lambda)w_{l_1l_2s_1s_2}/n$ or $C(iQ+\Lambda)\overline{w}_{l_1l_2s_1s_2}/n$, since the terms $\overline{\psi}_{jl_1}\overline{\psi}_{jl_2}\overline{\psi}_{js_1}\overline{\psi}_{js_2}$ or $\psi_{jl_1}\psi_{jl_2}\psi_{js_1}\psi_{js_2}$ cannot be completed to $\prod\limits_{l=1}^{2m}\overline{\psi}_{jl}\psi_{jl}$ only by terms $\overline{\psi}_{jl}\psi_{js}$;
3. $\Phi(iQ+\Lambda,v,w,r)$ can be written as

   $$\Phi(iQ+\Lambda,v,w,r)=\det(iQ+\Lambda)-\frac{2\varepsilon(\kappa_4)}{n}\sigma_1'+\widetilde{f}_n(iQ+\Lambda,v/n,w/n,r_p/n^{p/2}), \tag{2.45}$$

   where $\widetilde{f}_n(iQ+\Lambda,v/n,w/n,r/n^{p/2})$ contains all terms of $\Phi(iQ+\Lambda,v,w,r)$ which are $O(n^{-3/2})$ as $n\to\infty$ and as Q, v, w, r are fixed, and σ_1' contains linear with respect to v terms. In view of (2.3)

   $$\sigma_1'=\sum_{l_1\ne s_1,l_2\ne s_2}(v_{l_1l_2s_1s_2}q_{l_1,s_1,l_2,s_2}+\overline{v}_{l_1l_2s_1s_2}q_{l_2,s_2,l_1,s_1}), \tag{2.46}$$

   where $q_{s,l,p,r}$ is $(2m-2)\times(2m-2)$ minor of the matrix $iQ+\Lambda$ without rows with numbers s and l and columns with numbers p and r.

Recall that we are interested in $\Lambda=\Lambda_0+\widehat{\xi}/n\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0)$, where $\Lambda_0=\mathrm{diag}\{\lambda_0,\dots,\lambda_0\}$ and $\widehat{\xi}=\mathrm{diag}\{\xi_1,\dots,\xi_{2m}\}$. Shift now $\tau_j-i\lambda_0/2-i\xi_j/n\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0)\to\tau_j$, $j=1,..,2m$. Then similarly to (2.18) we obtain in new variables

$$\begin{aligned}D_{2m}^{-1}F_{2m}(\Lambda) &= Z_m\int_{\mathcal{H}_{2m}}d\,Q\int\widetilde{\nu}_n(v,w,r)\Phi^n(iQ+\Lambda_0/2,v,w,r) \qquad (2.47)\\ &\times\ e^{-\frac{n}{2}\mathrm{tr}\,(Q+\frac{i\Lambda_0}{2})^2-i\mathrm{tr}\,(Q+\frac{i\Lambda_0}{2})\widehat{\xi}/\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0)-\frac{1}{2n}\mathrm{tr}\,\frac{\widehat{\xi}^2}{\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0)^2}}d\,V\,d\,R\,d\,W,\end{aligned}$$

where Q is the hermitian matrix of (2.43) and $d\,Q$, $d\,V$, $d\,R$ and $d\,W$ are defined in (1.7) for $n = 2m$, (2.37) and (2.39). The (1) condition of Φ yields

$$\begin{aligned}|\Phi(iQ + \tfrac{\Lambda_0}{2}, v, w, r)| \le \prod_{q,s}(1 + C|(iQ + \Lambda_0/2)_{qs}|) \prod_{l_1\ne s_1, l_2\ne s_2} \Big(1 + C\Big|\frac{v_{l_1l_2s_1s_2}}{n}\Big|\Big) \\ \times \prod_{a_1<a_2<b_1<b_2} \Big(1 + C\Big|\frac{w_{a_1a_2b_1b_2}}{n}\Big|\Big) \prod_{p=3}^{2m} \prod_{l_1,..,l_{2p}=1}^{2m} \prod_{s=0}^{[\frac{p}{2}]} \Big(1 + C\Big|\frac{r_{l,s}}{n^{p/2}}\Big|\Big)\end{aligned} \tag{2.48}$$

with n-independent C, and (2.45) yields

$$\begin{aligned}|\Phi(iQ + \Lambda_0, v, w, r)| \le |\det(iQ + \Lambda_0/2)| \prod_{l_1\ne s_1, l_2\ne s_2} \Big(1 + C(Q)\Big|\frac{v_{l_1l_2s_1s_2}}{n}\Big|\Big) \\ \times \prod_{l_1<l_2<s_1<s_2} \Big(1 + C(Q)\Big|\frac{w_{l_1l_2s_1s_2}}{n}\Big|\Big) \prod_{p=3}^{2m} \prod_{l_1,..,l_{2p}=1}^{2m} \prod_{s=0}^{[\frac{p}{2}]} \Big(1 + C(Q)\Big|\frac{r_{l,s}}{n^{p/2}}\Big|\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{2.49}$$

Here $C(Q)$ is bounded if $a \le |q_l - i\lambda_0/2| \le A$, $l = 1, .., 2m$ and $\{q_l\}_{l=1}^{2m}$ are the eigenvalues of Q. Note that if $|\lambda_0| > \delta > 0$, then $|q_l - i\lambda_0/2| \ge \delta^2$ everywhere. Denote

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_n &= \{(Q, v, w, r) : a \le |q_s - i\lambda_0/2| \le A,\ |v_{l_1l_2s_1s_2}| \le \log n, \\ &\qquad |w_{l_1l_2s_1s_2}| \le \log n,\ |r_{l,s}| \le \log n\}, \\ \Omega_n^Q &= \{Q \in \mathcal{H}_{2m} : a \le |q_s - i\lambda_0/2| \le A,\ s = 1, .., 2m\}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.50}$$

According to (2.48) the integral in (2.47) over the domain $\max\limits_{l=1,..,2m} |q_l - i\lambda_0/2| \ge A$ is $O(e^{-nA^2/4})$, $A \to \infty$ and the integral over the domain $\min\limits_{l=1,..,2m} |q_l - i\lambda_0/2| \le a$ is $O(e^{-n\log a^{-1}})$, $a \to 0$. Moreover, the bound (2.49) implies that this integral over the domain, where the absolute value of at least one of $\{v_{l_1l_2s_1s_2}\}$, $\{w_{l_1l_2s_1s_2}\}$ or $\{r_{l,s}\}$ is greater then $\log n$ but $a \le |q_s - i\lambda_0/2| \le A$, $s = 1, .., 2m$, can be bounded by $e^{-c\log^2 n}$ (similarly to (2.21)). Therefore, using (2.5), (2.31), and (2.44) to bound the integral with $|\mu_n(Q)|$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}D_{2m}^{-1}F_{2m}(\Lambda) = Z_m \int\limits_{\Omega_n} \mu_n(Q) e^{-\mathrm{tr}\,(Q+\frac{i\Lambda_0}{2})\frac{i\widehat{\xi}}{\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0)} - 2\varepsilon(\kappa_4)\det^{-1}(iQ+\Lambda_0/2)\sigma_1' - \frac{1}{2n}\mathrm{tr}\,\frac{\widehat{\xi}^2}{\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0)^2}} \\ \times\widetilde{\nu}_n(v, w, r)\,(1 + f_n(Q, v, w, r))\,d\,Q\,d\,V\,d\,R\,d\,W + O(e^{-c\log^2 n}),\end{aligned} \tag{2.51}$$

where μ_n, σ_1' and $\widetilde{\nu}_n(v, w, r)$ are defined in (2.19), (2.46) and (2.42) respectively, and

$$f_n(Q, v, w, r) = e^{2\varepsilon(\kappa_4)\det^{-1}(iQ+\frac{\Lambda_0}{2})\sigma_1'} \left(1 + \frac{\widetilde{f}_n(iQ + \frac{\Lambda_0}{2}, v, w, r) - 2\varepsilon(\kappa_4)\sigma_1'/n}{\det(iQ + \Lambda_0/2)}\right)^n - 1. \tag{2.52}$$

Note that f_n is an analytic function of the entries of Q, and in view of (2.49) we have on Ω_n

$$|f_n(Q, v, w, r)| \le n^{-1/2}\log^k n, \tag{2.53}$$

where k is independent of n. It is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned}I &:= \int\limits_{\Omega_n} \nu_n(Q, v, w, r)\,d\,V\,d\,R\,d\,W \\ &= \prod_{p=2}^{2m}(C_p')^{-1} e^{\kappa_4\sigma(iQ+\Lambda_0/2)\det^{-2}(iQ+\Lambda_0/2)} + O(e^{-c\log^2 n}),\end{aligned} \tag{2.54}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\nu_n(Q,v,w,r) &= \exp\{-2\varepsilon(\kappa_4)\det{}^{-1}(iQ+\Lambda_0/2)\sigma_1'\}\widetilde{\nu}_n(v,w,r),\\ \sigma(iQ+\Lambda_0/2) &= \sum_{l_1\neq s_1, l_2\neq s_2} q_{l_1,s_1,l_2,s_2}q_{l_2,s_2,l_1,s_1}\end{aligned} \tag{2.55}$$

with q_{l_1,s_1,l_2,s_2} defined in (2.46) (but for the matrix $iQ+\Lambda_0/2$ instead of $iQ+\Lambda_0$). Note also that according to the Cauchy-Binet formula (see [6]), we have that $\sigma(iQ+\Lambda_0/2)$ is the sum $S_{2m-2}(A)$ of principal minors of order $(2m-2)\times(2m-2)$ of the matrix

$$A=(iQ^*+\Lambda_0/2)(iQ+\Lambda_0/2)=U^*(iT_0+\Lambda_0/2)^2U,$$

where U is a unitary $2m\times 2m$ matrix diagonalizing Q and $T_0=\mathrm{diag}\{q_1,..,q_{2m}\}$, i.e. $Q=U^*T_0U$. Since $S_{2m-2}(A)$ is a coefficient under λ^2 in the characteristic polynomial $\det(A-\lambda I)$, $S_{2m-2}(A)$ is unitary invariant, and thus $\sigma(iQ+\Lambda_0/2)$ is unitary invariant too. Therefore, we have on Ω_n of (2.50)

$$\Big|\sigma(iQ+\frac{\Lambda_0}{2})\det{}^{-2}(iQ+\frac{\Lambda_0}{2})\Big|=\Big|\sum_{1\le s<l\le 2m}\frac{1}{(iq_s+\frac{\lambda_0}{2})^2(iq_l+\frac{\lambda_0}{2})^2}\Big|\le C,$$

and hence $|I|>C>0$. This, (2.51) and (2.54) yield

$$\begin{aligned}D_{2m}^{-1}F_{2m}(\Lambda) &= \frac{n^{2m^2}D_{2m}^{-1}}{2^m\pi^{2m^2}}\int\limits_{\Omega_n^Q} e^{-\mathrm{tr}\,(Q+\frac{i\Lambda_0}{2})\frac{i\widehat{\xi}}{\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0)}+\kappa_4\,\sigma(iQ+\frac{\Lambda_0}{2})/\det(iQ+\frac{\Lambda_0}{2})^2}\\ &\times\ \mu_n(Q)\left(1+f_n^{(1)}(Q)\right)d\,Q+O(e^{-c\log^2 n}),\end{aligned} \tag{2.56}$$

where μ_n is defined in (2.19) and

$$\begin{aligned}f_n^{(1)}(Q)&=e^{-\frac{1}{2n}\mathrm{tr}\,\frac{\widehat{\xi}^2}{\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0)^2}}-1\\ &\qquad+I^{-1}e^{-\frac{1}{2n}\mathrm{tr}\,\frac{\widehat{\xi}^2}{\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0)^2}}\int\limits_{\Omega_n}\nu_n(Q,v,w,r)f_n(Q,v,w,r)d\,V\,d\,R\,d\,W\end{aligned} \tag{2.57}$$

with I of (2.54) and ν_n of (2.55). According to (2.52) and bound from below of $|I|$ on Ω_n, we have

$$|f_n^{(1)}(Q)|\le\log^k n/n^{1/2}. \tag{2.58}$$

Besides, $f_n^{(1)}(Q)$ is analytic in elements of Q.

Let us change variables to $Q=U^*TU$, where U is a unitary $2m\times 2m$ matrix and $T=\mathrm{diag}\{t_1,\dots,t_{2m}\}$. The differential $d\,Q$ in (2.56) transforms to $\triangle^2(T)d\,Td\,\mu(U)$, where $d\,T=\prod\limits_{l=1}^{2m}d\,t_l$, $\triangle(T)$ is a Vandermonde determinant of $\{t_l\}_{l=1}^{2m}$, and $\mu(U)$ is the normalized to unity Haar measure on the unitary group $U(2m)$ (see e.g. [9], Section 3.3). Functions $\det(iQ+\frac{\Lambda_0}{2})$, $\mathrm{tr}\,(Q+\frac{i\Lambda_0}{2})^2$ and $\sigma(iQ+\frac{\Lambda_0}{2})$ (as we proved before) are unitary invariant. Hence, (2.56) implies

$$\begin{aligned}D_{2m}^{-1}F_{2m}(\Lambda)&=\frac{(-1)^{mn}n^{2m^2}}{D_{2m}2^m\pi^{2m^2}}\int\limits_{U(2m)}d\mu(U)\int\limits_{(L_a^A)^{2m}}d\,T\prod_{l=1}^{2m}(t_l-\frac{i\lambda_0}{2})^n\\ &\times e^{-\frac{n}{2}\sum\limits_{l=1}^{2m}(t_l+\frac{i\lambda_0}{2})^2-\mathrm{tr}\,U^*(T+\frac{i\Lambda_0}{2})U\frac{i\widehat{\xi}}{\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0)}+\kappa_4\sum\limits_{1\le l<s\le 2m}(t_l-\frac{i\lambda_0}{2})^{-2}(t_s-\frac{i\lambda_0}{2})^{-2}}\\ &\times\triangle^2(T)\left(1+f_n^{(1)}(U^*TU)\right)+O(e^{-c\log^2 n}),\end{aligned} \tag{2.59}$$

where L_a^A is defined in (2.28). Using Proposition 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{2m}^{-1}F_{2m}(\Lambda) &= \frac{(-1)^{mn}n^{2m^2}}{D_{2m}2^m\pi^{2m^2}} \int\limits_{(L_a^A)^{2m}} e^{-\frac{n}{2}\sum\limits_{l=1}^{2m}(t_l+\frac{i\lambda_0}{2})^2+\kappa_4\sum\limits_{1\le i<j\le 2m}(t_i-\frac{i\lambda_0}{2})^{-2}(t_j-\frac{i\lambda_0}{2})^{-2}} \\
&\triangle^2(T)\prod_{l=1}^{2m}(t_l-\tfrac{i\lambda_0}{2})^n\left(\frac{\pi^m e^{-i\sum\limits_{l=1}^{2m}(t_l+\frac{i\lambda_0}{2})\frac{\xi_l}{\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0)}}}{\Delta(T)\Delta(-i\widehat{\xi}/\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0))}+\widetilde{f}_n^{(2)}(T)\right)dT+O(e^{-c\log^2 n}),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.60}$$

where

$$\widetilde{f}_n^{(2)}(T)=\int\exp\left\{-i\mathrm{tr}\,U^*(T+\frac{i\Lambda_0}{2})U\frac{\widehat{\xi}}{\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0)}\right\}f_n^{(1)}(U^*TU)d\mu(U).$$

According to (2.58), we get that

$$|\widetilde{f}_n^{(2)}(T)|\le n^{-1/2}\log^k n, t_l\in L_a^A,\ l=1,..2m. \tag{2.61}$$

Hence, we obtain finally

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{2m}^{-1}F_{2m}(\Lambda) &= \frac{(-1)^{mn}n^{2m^2}}{D_{2m}2^m\pi^{2m^2-m}} \int\limits_{(L_a^A)^{2m}} \prod_{l=1}^{2m}(t_l-\frac{i\lambda_0}{2})^n\frac{\triangle(T)}{\Delta(\widehat{\xi})}(i\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0))^{m(2m-1)} \\
&\times e^{-\frac{n}{2}\sum\limits_{l=1}^{2m}(t_l+\frac{i\lambda_0}{2})^2-i\sum\limits_{l=1}^{2m}(t_l+\frac{i\lambda_0}{2})\frac{\xi_l}{\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0)}+\kappa_4\sum\limits_{l_1<l_2}(t_{l_1}-\frac{i\lambda_0}{2})^{-2}(t_{l_2}-\frac{i\lambda_0}{2})^{-2}} \\
&\times\left(1+f_n^{(2)}(T)\right)\prod_{j=1}^{2m}dt_j+O(e^{-c\log^2 n}),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.62}$$

where

$$f_n^{(2)}(T)=\Delta(T)\Delta(-i\widehat{\xi}/\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0))e^{i\sum\limits_{l=1}^{2m}t_l\xi_l/\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0)}\widetilde{f}_n^{(2)}(T).$$

$f_n^{(2)}(T)$ is an analytic function bounded by $n^{-1/2}\log^k n$ if $t_l\in L_a^A$, $l=1,..,2m$.

3 Asymptotic analysis.

In this section we prove Theorem 1 passing to the limit $n\to\infty$ in (2.62) for $\lambda_j=\lambda_0+\xi_j/n\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0)$, where ρ_{sc} is defined in (1.5), $\lambda_0\in(-2,2)$ and $\xi_j\in[-M,M]\subset\mathbb{R}$, $j=1,..,2m$.

To this end consider the function

$$V(t,\lambda_0)=\frac{t^2}{2}+\frac{i\lambda_0}{2}t-\log(t-i\lambda_0/2)-\frac{4-\lambda_0^2}{8}. \tag{3.1}$$

Then (2.62) yields

$$\frac{D_{2m}^{-1}}{(n\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0))^{m^2}}F_{2m}(\Lambda)=Z_{m,n}\int\limits_{(L_a^A)^{2m}}W_n(t_1,\ldots,t_{2m})dT+O(e^{-c\log^2 n}), \tag{3.2}$$

where D_{2m} is defined in (2.31),

$$\begin{aligned} W_n(t_1,\dots,t_{2m}) = e^{-n\sum\limits_{l=1}^{2m} V(t_l,\lambda_0) - i\sum\limits_{l=1}^{2m} \frac{\xi_l}{\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0)} t_l} \frac{\triangle(T)}{\triangle(\widehat{\xi})} \\ \times e^{\kappa_4 \sum\limits_{1\le l<s\le 2m} (t_l - \frac{i\lambda_0}{2})^{-2}(t_s - \frac{i\lambda_0}{2})^{-2}} \left(1 + f_n^{(2)}(T)\right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$Z_{m,n} = \frac{(-1)^{mn} n^{m^2} \rho_{sc}(\lambda_0)^{m(m-1)} e^{-m\kappa_4}}{(-i)^{m(2m-1)} 2^{2m} \pi^{2m^2}}. \tag{3.4}$$

Now we need

Lemma 1. *The function* $\Re V(t,\lambda_0)$ *for* $t \in \mathbb{R}$ *has the minimum at the points*

$$t = x_\pm := \pm \frac{\sqrt{4-\lambda_0^2}}{2}. \tag{3.5}$$

Moreover, if $t \notin U_n(x_\pm) := (x_\pm - n^{-1/2}\log n, x_\pm + n^{-1/2}\log n)$*, then we have for sufficiently big* n

$$\Re V(t,\lambda) \ge \frac{C\log^2 n}{n}. \tag{3.6}$$

Proof. Note that for $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\Re V(t,\lambda_0) = \frac{1}{2}\left(t^2 - (4-\lambda_0^2)/4 - \log(t^2 + \lambda_0^2/4)\right), \tag{3.7}$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\Re V(t,\lambda_0) &= t - \frac{t}{t^2+\lambda_0^2/4}, \\ \frac{d^2}{dt^2}\Re V(t,\lambda_0) &= 1 - \frac{1}{t^2+\lambda_0^2/4} + \frac{2t^2}{(t^2+\lambda_0^2/4)^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Therefore, $t = x_\pm$ of (3.5) are the minimum points of $\Re V(t,\lambda_0)$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} V_+ := V(x_+,\lambda_0) &= \frac{i\lambda_0\sqrt{4-\lambda_0^2}}{4} - i\arcsin(-\lambda_0/2), \\ V_- := V(x_-,\lambda_0) &= -\frac{i\lambda_0\sqrt{4-\lambda_0^2}}{4} + i\arcsin(-\lambda_0/2) - i\pi. \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Thus we have

$$\Re V(x_\pm,\lambda_0) = 0.$$

Expanding $\Re V(t,\lambda_0)$ into the Taylor series, we obtain for $t \in U_n(x_\pm)$, using (3.8) – (3.9)

$$\Re V(t,\lambda_0) = \frac{4-\lambda_0^2}{4}(t-x_\pm)^2 + O(n^{-3/2}\log^3 n), \tag{3.10}$$

where $x_\pm$ is defined in (3.5). Hence, for $t \notin U_n(x_\pm)$ we get

$$\Re V(t,\lambda) \ge \frac{C\log^2 n}{n},$$

which completes the proof of the lemma. □

Next note that since $|t_j - i\lambda_0/2| > a$ for $t_j \in L_a^A$, $j = 1, .., 2m$, we have

$$\left|\exp\left\{\kappa_4 \sum_{1\le l<s\le 2m} (t_l - i\lambda_0/2)^{-2}(t_s - i\lambda_0/2)^{-2}\right\}\right| \le C. \tag{3.11}$$

This, the inequality $|\triangle(T)/\triangle(\widehat{\xi})| \le C_1$ for $|t_j| \le A$, $j = 1, .., 2m$ and distinct $\{\xi_j\}_{j=1}^{2m}$, (3.6) and (3.11) yield

$$\left| Z_{m,n} \int\limits_{L_a^A\setminus(U_+\cup U_-)} \int\limits_{L_a^A} .. \int\limits_{L_a^A} W_n(t_1, \dots, t_{2m}) d\,T \right| \le C_1 n^{m^2} e^{-C_2 \log^2 n},$$

where L_a^A, W_n and $Z_{m,n}$ are defined in (2.28), (3.3) and (3.4) respectively, and

$$U_\pm = \{t \in \mathbb{R} : |t - x_\pm| \le n^{-1/2} \log n\} \tag{3.12}$$

with $x_\pm$ of (3.5).

Note that we have for $t \in U_\pm$ in view of (3.1) and (3.9) as $n \to \infty$

$$V(t, \lambda_0) = V_\pm + \left(1 + \frac{1}{(x_\pm - i\lambda_0/2)^2}\right) \frac{(t - x_\pm)^2}{2} + f_\pm(t - x_\pm), \tag{3.13}$$

where $f_\pm(t - x_\pm) = O((t - x_\pm)^3)$. Shifting $t_j - x_\pm \to t_j$ for $t_j \in U_\pm$ we obtain using (3.9) that the r.h.s. of (3.2) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} &Z_{m,n} \sum_\alpha \int\limits_{(U_n)^{2m}} \prod_{j=1}^{2m} d\,t_j \prod_{j=1}^{2m} e^{-\frac{nc_{\alpha_j}}{2} t_j^2 - \frac{i\xi_j t_j}{\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0)} - n f_{\alpha_j}(t_j)} \frac{\triangle(t_1 + x_{\alpha_1}, \dots, t_{2m} + x_{\alpha_{2m}})}{\triangle(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{2m})} \\ &e^{\kappa_4 \sum\limits_{1\le l<s\le 2m} (t_l + p_{\alpha_l})^{-2}(t_s + p_{\alpha_s})^{-2} - \sum\limits_{j=1}^{2m} (nV_{\alpha_j} + i x_{\alpha_j} \xi_j/\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0))} (1 + f_n^{(2)}(T)) + O(e^{-c\log^2 n}), \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

where sum is over all collection $\alpha = \{\alpha_j\}_{j=1}^{2m}$, $\alpha_j = \pm$, $j = 1, .., 2m$ and

$$c_\pm = 1 + p_\pm^{-2}, \quad p_\pm = x_\pm - i\lambda_0/2, \quad U_n = (-2n^{-1/2} \log n, 2n^{-1/2} \log n). \tag{3.15}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} I &:= \int\limits_{(U_n)^{2m}} e^{-\sum\limits_{j=1}^{2m} \frac{nc_{\alpha_j}}{2} t_j^2 - \sum\limits_{j=1}^{2m} \frac{i\xi_j t_j}{\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0)} - \sum\limits_{j=1}^{2m} n f_{\alpha_j}(t_j)} \triangle(t_1 + x_{\alpha_1}, \dots, t_{2m} + x_{\alpha_{2m}}) \prod_{j=1}^{2m} d\,t_j \\ &= \det\left\{ \int\limits_{U_{n,j}} \left(t_j + x_{\alpha_j} - \frac{i\xi_j}{n\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0)c_{\alpha_j}}\right)^{k-1} e^{-\frac{nc_{\alpha_j}}{2} t_j^2 - n f_{\alpha_j}(t_j - \frac{i\xi_j}{n\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0)c_{\alpha_j}})} d\,t_j \right\}_{j,k=1}^{2m}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

where

$$U_{j,n} = \left(-2n^{-1/2} \log n + \frac{i\xi_j}{n\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0)c_{\alpha_j}}, 2n^{-1/2} \log n + \frac{i\xi_j}{n\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0)c_{\alpha_j}}\right).$$

Since $f_\pm(t)=O(t^3)$, changing variables to $\sqrt{n}t_j\to t_j$, expanding $\exp\{-nf_{\alpha_j}(t_j/\sqrt{n}-i\xi_j/n\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0)c_{\alpha_j})\}$ in (3.16), and keeping the terms up to the order n^{-4m^2}, we obtain as $n\to\infty$

$$I=\prod_{j=1}^{2m}\sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{nc_{\alpha_j}}}\,\det\left\{\left(x_{\alpha_j}-\frac{i\xi_j}{n\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0)c_{\alpha_j}}\right)^{k-1}+\frac{1}{n}P^{(\alpha_j)}_{k,m}(\xi_j/n)\right\}_{j,k=1}^{2m}(1+o(1)),\qquad(3.17)$$

where $P^{(+)}_{k,m}$ and $P^{(-)}_{k,m}$ are polynomials with n- and j-independent (but k-dependent) coefficients of degree at most $4m^2$. Consider

$$D(\xi/n,\lambda)=\det\left\{\left(x_{\alpha_j}-\frac{i\xi_j}{n\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0)c_{\alpha_j}}\right)^{k-1}+\lambda P^{(\alpha_j)}_{k,m}(\xi_j/n)\right\}_{j,k=1}^{2m}.\qquad(3.18)$$

Note that $D(\xi/n,\lambda)$ is a polynomial of $\{\xi_j/n\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0)\}_{j=1}^{2m}$ and λ. Without loss of generality, let $\alpha_1=...=\alpha_s=+$, $\alpha_{s+1}=...=\alpha_{2m}=-$. Then it is easy to see that if $\xi_j=\xi_l$ for $j,l=1,..,s$ or $j,l=s+1,..,2m$, then $D(\xi/n,\lambda)=0$. Thus,

$$D(\xi/n,\lambda)=\Delta(\xi_1/n,\dots,\xi_s/n)\Delta(\xi_{s+1}/n,\dots,\xi_{2m}/n)(C_0+\lambda F(\xi/n,\lambda)),\qquad(3.19)$$

where $F(\xi/n,\lambda)$ is a polynomial with bonded coefficients. Substituting $\lambda=0$ in (3.18) and computing the Vandermonde determinant, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}C_0=&\left(\frac{-i}{\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0)c_+}\right)^{\frac{s(s-1)}{2}}\left(\frac{-i}{\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0)c_-}\right)^{\frac{(2m-s)(2m-s-1)}{2}}\\&\prod_{j=1}^{s}\prod_{k=s+1}^{2m}\left(x_+-x_--\frac{i\xi_j}{n\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0)c_+}+\frac{i\xi_k}{n\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0)c_-}\right)\\=&\left(\frac{-i}{\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0)c_+}\right)^{\frac{s(s-1)}{2}}\left(\frac{-i}{\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0)c_-}\right)^{\frac{(2m-s)(2m-s-1)}{2}}(x_+-x_-)^{s(2m-s)}(1+o(1)).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $\alpha_1=...=\alpha_s=+$, $\alpha_{s+1}=...=\alpha_{2m}=-$ we get from (3.19) as $n\to\infty$

$$\frac{n^{m^2}I}{\Delta(\widehat{\xi})}=\frac{2^m\pi^m(-i/\rho_{sc}(\lambda_0))^{m(m-1)+(m-s)^2}n^{-(m-s)^2}(\sqrt{4-\lambda_0^2})^{s(2m-s)}(1+o(1))}{(c_+)^{s^2/2}(c_-)^{(2m-s)^2/2}\prod_{j=1}^{s}\prod_{l=s+1}^{2m}(\xi_j-\xi_l)}.\qquad(3.20)$$

This expression has the order at most $O(1)$, and for $s\neq m$ it is of order $o(1)$. Hence, the terms of (3.14) are always of order $O(1)$ and the equality holds only if m of $\{\alpha_j\}_{j=1}^{2m}$ are pluses, and m last ones are minuses. Consider one of such terms in (3.14), for example $\alpha_1=..=\alpha_m=1$, $\alpha_{m+1}=..=\alpha_{2m}=-1$. Substituting the expressions (3.4), (3.15) and (3.20) with $s=m$ we can rewrite this term as

$$\frac{e^{m(m-1)\kappa_4(\lambda_0^2-2)^2/2}}{\pi^{2m^2-2m}}\frac{i^{m(m+1)}e^{i\pi(\xi_{m+1}+..+\xi_{2m}-\xi_1-..-\xi_m)}}{(2i\pi)^m\prod_{i,j=1}^{m}(\xi_i-\xi_{m+j})}\qquad(3.21)$$

In view of identity

$$\frac{\det\left\{\dfrac{\sin(\pi(\xi_j-\xi_{m+k}))}{\pi(\xi_j-\xi_{m+k})}\right\}_{j,k=1}^{m}}{\Delta(\xi_1,..,\xi_m)\Delta(\xi_{m+1},..,\xi_{2m})}=\frac{\det\left\{\dfrac{e^{i\pi(\xi_j-\xi_{m+k})}-e^{i\pi(\xi_{m+k}-\xi_j)}}{\xi_j-\xi_{m+k}}\right\}_{j,k=1}^{m}}{(2i\pi)^m\Delta(\xi_1,..,\xi_m)\Delta(\xi_{m+1},..,\xi_{2m})},$$

the determinant in the l.h.s. is the sum of $\exp\{i\pi \sum\limits_{j=1}^{2m} \alpha_j\xi_j\}$ over the collection $\{\alpha_j\}_{j=1}^{2m}$, in which m of elements are pluses, and m last ones are minuses, with certain coefficient. In view of the identity (see [12], Problem 7.3)

$$(-1)^{\frac{m(m-1)}{2}} \frac{\prod\limits_{k<l}(a_k-a_l)(b_k-b_l)}{\prod\limits_{k,l=1}^{m}(a_k-b_l)} = \det\left[\frac{1}{a_k-b_j}\right]_{k,j=1}^{m}.$$

the coefficient under $\exp\{i\pi(\xi_{m+1}+..+\xi_{2m}-\xi_1-..-\xi_m)\}$ is equal to

$$\frac{\det\left\{\frac{1}{\xi_{m+k}-\xi_j}\right\}_{j,k=1}^{m}}{(2i\pi)^m\Delta(\xi_1,..,\xi_m)\Delta(\xi_{m+1},..,\xi_{2m})} = \frac{(-1)^{\frac{m(m-1)}{2}}}{(-1)^{m^2}(2i\pi)^m\prod\limits_{i,j=1}^{m}(\xi_i-\xi_{m+j})}.$$

Other coefficients can be computed analogously. Thus, restricting the sum in (3.14) to that over the collection $\{\alpha_j\}_{j=1}^{2m}$, in which m of elements are pluses, and m last ones are minuses, and using (3.21), we obtain Theorem 1 after certain algebra.

References

[1] Berezin F.A., Vvedenie v algebru i analiz s anticommutiruyushchimi peremennymi, "Izdatelstvo Moskovskogo Universiteta" (1983) (Russian)

[2] Brezin, E., and Hikami, S.: Characteristic polynomials of random matrices. Commun. Math. Phys. **214**, 111-135 (2000)

[3] Brezin, E., and Hikami, S.: Characteristic polynomials of real symmetric random matrices. Commun. Math. Phys. **223**, 363-382 (2001)

[4] Efetov, K., Supersymmetry in disorder and chaos, "Cambridge university press", (1997)

[5] L. Erdos, J. Ramirez, B. Schlein, T. Tao, V. Vu, H.-T. Yau, "Bulk universality for Wigner hermitian matrices with subexponential decay", arXiv:0906.4400v1 [math.PR] 24 Jun 2009

[6] F. R. Gantmacher, The Theory of Matrices, Chelsea, New York, 1959.

[7] F.Gotze, H.Kosters, On the second-ordered correlation function of the characteristic polynomial of a hermitian Wigner matrix. Commun. Math. Phys.**285**,1183-1205 (2008).

[8] J.P. Keating, N.C.Snaith : Random matrix theory and $\zeta(1/2+it)$. Commun. Math. Phys. **214** , 57-89 (2000)

[9] M.L.Mehta, *Random Matrices*,Academic Press, New York,1991

[10] M.L.Mehta, J-M Normand: Moments of the characteristic polynomial in the three ensembles of random matrices. J.Phys A: Math.Gen. **34**, 4627-4639 (2001)

[11] L. Pastur, The spectrum of random matrices (Russian), Teoret.Mat.Fiz.**10**(1972) 102-112

[12] G. Polya, G. Szego, Problems and theorems in analysis. Die Grundlehren der math.Wissenschaften, Springer-Verlag, Vol. II, 1976

[13] E.Strahov, Y.V. Fyodorov, Universal Results for Correlations of Characteristic Polynomials: Riemann-Hilbert Approach, Commun. Math. Phys.**241**, 343-382(2003).